REMARKS

In the application claims 1-7, 16-20, 22-27, 29, 32 and 33 remain pending. Claims 1-7, 16-18, 23-25, 32, and 33 stand rejected. Claims 19, 20, 22, 26, 27, and 29 have been indicated to contain allowable subject matter.

The reconsideration of the rejection of the claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-4, 16-18, 23-25, 32, and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Allport (U.S. Patent No. 6,567,984). It is respectfully submitted that this rejection must be withdrawn for the reason that Allport does not disclose, teach, or suggest each and every element set forth in claims. For example, nowhere does Allport disclose, teach, or suggest that closed captioning information is read from a video signal with the closed captioning information read from the video signal then being loaded into a pre-formatted mark-up language page that is downloadable to a hand-held device. Rather, the HTML parser of Allport does nothing more than merely pass though HTML content that is received at the base station (75) with, at best, some parts of the HTML content stripped therefrom, i.e., there is no extracting of information followed by the loading of the information into a pre-formatted mark-up language page. Since the rejection of the claims fails to cite to any passage from Allport where this claim element may be found it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-4, 16-18, 23-25, 32, and 33 should be deemed to be allowable.

Claims 5 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu (U.S. Patent No. 5,953,005). It is respectfully submitted that this rejection must be withdrawn for the reason that Liu does not disclose, teach, or suggest each and every element set forth in claims. For example, nowhere does Liu disclose, teach, or suggest that song lyrics or movie sub-titles are read from a recorded media with the song lyrics or movie sub-titles read from the recorded media then being loaded into a pre-formatted mark-up language page that is downloadable to a hand-

Application No. 09/905,432

held device. Rather, Liu expressly states, as acknowledged in the Office Action, that Liu does

nothing more than pass though retrieved Web page content, i.e., there is no extracting of

information followed by the loading of the information into a pre-formatted mark-up language

page. Since the rejection of the claims fails to cite to any passage from Liu where this claim

element may be found it is respectfully submitted that claims 5-7 should be deemed to be

allowable.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the application is in good and proper form for allowance.

Such action of the part of the Examiner is respectfully requested. Should it be determined,

however, that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application,

the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the attorney undersigned.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee deficiency or credit overpayment to

deposit account 50-2428 in the name of Greenberg Traurig.

Respectfully Submitted;

Date: September 12, 2005

By: Gary R. Jarosik, Reg. No. 35,906

Greenberg Trauris LLP

77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2500

Chicago, Illinois 60601

(312) 456-8449

3